

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,065	07/30/2003	Donald VanderSluis	VTE-141-B	8198
7	590 12/27/2005		EXAMINER	
Andrew R. Basile			AGUIRRECHEA, JAYDI A	
Young & Basil	e, P.C.			
Suite 624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3001 West Big Beaver Road			2834	
Troy, MI 48084			DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/630,065	VANDERSLUIS, DONALD		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jaydi A. Aguirrechea	2834		
D	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			·		
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	eptember 2005.			
2a)□		action is non-final.			
3)□	,—		osecution as to the merits is		
,_ _	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5-25 and 27-44 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 15-22,35-42 and 44 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-3,5-14,23-25,27-34 and 43 is/are of Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. Djected to.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage		
Attach					
Attachmen 1) Notice	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summan	v (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/630,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Objection to claim 44 is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-22, 35-42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffmann et al. (US 6236190) in view of Ward et al. (US 4558391)

Hoffmann discloses a device and method for driving a capacitive actuator; the device comprising a piezoelectric (smart material) actuator (P); a power source (V) connected to the actuator and a switch circuit. (See figures 1-2 and its description)

However, Hoffman fails to disclose the switch circuit for discharging the actuator in response to the removal of the connection to the power source.

Ward discloses a capacitive discharge drive comprising a switch (129) for actively discharging a capacitor (actuator) in response to removal of the connection to the power source.

Ward's invention has the purpose of automatically discharge any remaining energy in the capacitor (actuator) at any time upon removal of the primary power source.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to use the switch circuit disclosed by Ward in the invention disclosed by Hoffmann for the purpose of automatically discharging the energy stored in the capacitor.

With regards to claim 16, Hoffmann discloses a switch circuit for charging the actuator (Figures 1 and 2).

With regards to claims 17 and 18, Hoffmann discloses a switch circuit for charging and discharging the actuator in response to the control signal. See columns 4 and 5.

With regards to claims 19 and 21, Hoffmann discloses a voltage comparator (K).

With regards to claim 20 and 22, Hoffmann discloses the different stages of the charging and discharging process of the piezoelectric actuator in the description of the preferred embodiment (Cols. 4 and 5).

With regards to claims 35-42 and 44, the combination of Hoffman and Ward disclose the claimed limitations as disclosed above. The method of driving the actuator is inherent in the device itself, and therefore rejected under the same grounds as explained above.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-3, 5-14, 23-25, 27-34 and 43 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15 and 35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. Aguirrechea whose telephone number is 571-272-2018. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,065

Art Unit: 2834

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

12/19/2005

DARRIZI SCHUBERG SUPTRIZZAN PATERT ENAMINER TECHTIZEGGY GYLYER 2600 Page 4